PAGE 8

Applicant(s): Arthur ASHMAN

Serial No.: 10/630,549 Group Art Unit: 3763 Filed: July 29, 2003

Examiner: Laura A. Bouchelle

DOCKET NO. 01527/100E848-US3

REMARKS

This submission is in response to the non-final Official Action mailed September 6,

2006. Claims 1-24 are pending. Claims 1-18 stand rejected. Claims 19-24 were objected to as

being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but otherwise allowable.

Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the indication of allowable subject matter.

Claims 19, 21 and 23 have been rewritten in independent form as suggested by the Examiner.

Claim 24 has also been amended to correct a typographical error. No narrowing of scope is

intended or effected by the amendments. Applicant respectfully submits that these claims should be

allowed.

Applicant also wishes to thank the Examiner for the telephonic interview with

Applicant's attorney on January 5, 2007. The following constitutes Applicant's written statement in

compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.133(b) and MPEP § 713.04. The Examiner and Applicant's attorney

discussed the rejection of the claims over U.S. Patent No. 3,736,932 to Satchell. Applicant's

attorney pointed out to the Examiner that the claims recited "a curved portion" while the Satchell

apparatus did not have a curved portion but was straight, and therefore, the claims were patentable

over Satchell. The Examiner agreed that Satchell taught only a straight passage. Applicant's

attorney and the Examiner discussed claim language making this absolutely clear.

Claims 1, 12 and 15 have been amended to explicitly state that the "passage" in the neck

is "curved" and has a "curved axis." Of course, this was implicit in the claims as filed, as the

passage was in the "curved portion" of the neck. As the amendments merely make explicit what

was already implicit in the claims, no narrowing in claim scope is intended or effected.

Applicant submits that, and as the Examiner indicated agreement, Satchell does not teach

or suggest a nozzle tip neck with a curved passage or a curved passage axis. The Satchell apparatus

PAGE 9

DOCKET NO. 01527/100E848-US3

Applicant(s): Arthur ASHMAN

Serial No.: 10/630,549 Group Art Unit: 3763 Filed: July 29, 2003

Examiner: Laura A. Bouchelle

is straight, with no curved passage or curved passage axis. Therefore, claims 1-18 are patentable

over Satchell and the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and § 103 should be withdrawn.

The Examiner also stated that claims 1-18 "conflict" with claims in Application Serial

Number 10/132,793 and rejected these claims for nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting

over U.S. Patent No. 6,554,803. Applicant submits herewith a terminal disclaimer that addresses

the rejection. As all other issues with the application have thus been resolved, the Examiner should

allow the application to issue over the '793 application. See MPEP § 822.01.

In view of the above amendments, applicant believes the pending application is in

condition for allowance, and such action is earnestly solicited.

Dated: January 8, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

Kevin L. Reiner

Registration No.: 43,040

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant